SMT in practice. veriT

David Déharbe, Pascal Fontaine & Christophe Ringeissen

Procédures de décision et vérification de programmes: Lecture 8

SMT = SAT + expressiveness

SAT solvers

$$\neg\big[\,(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \big[\,(\neg p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow q\big]\big]$$

► Congruence closure (uninterpreted symbols + equality) $a = b \land [f(a) \neq f(b) \lor (p(a) \land \neg p(b))]$

adding arithmetic

$$a \le b \land b \le a + x \land x = 0 \land \left[f(a) \ne f(b) \lor (p(a) \land \neg p(b+x)) \right]$$
...

Some examples: Alt-Ergo, Barcelogic, CVC4 (SVC, CVC, CVC-lite, CVC3), MathSAT, OpenSMT, Yices, Z3 ...



Standard input language: SMT-LIB 2.0

$$a \leq b \wedge b \leq a + x \wedge x = 0 \wedge \left[f(a) \neq f(b) \lor (q(a) \land \neg q(b + x)) \right]$$

In SMT-LIB 2.0 format:

```
(set-logic QF_UFLRA)
(set-info :source | Example formula in SMT-LIB 2.0 |)
(set-info :smt-lib-version 2.0)
(declare-fun f (Real) Real)
(declare-fun q (Real) Bool)
(declare-fun a () Real)
(declare-fun b () Real)
(declare-fun x () Real)
(assert (and (<= a b) (<= b (+ a x)) (= x 0))
             (or (not (= (f a) (f b)))
                 (and (q a) (not (q (+ b x))))))
(check-sat)
(exit)
```

Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



 $\mathsf{Input:} \ a \leq b \land b \leq a + x \land x = 0 \land \big[f(a) \neq f(b) \lor (q(a) \land \neg q(b+x)) \big]$

Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



Input:
$$a \leq b \wedge b \leq a + x \wedge x = 0 \wedge [f(a) \neq f(b) \vee (q(a) \wedge \neg q(b+x))]$$

To SAT solver: $p_{a \leq b} \wedge p_{b \leq a+x} \wedge p_{x=0} \wedge [\neg p_{f(a)=f(b)} \vee (p_{q(a)} \wedge \neg p_{q(b+x)})]$
Boolean model: $p_{a \leq b}, p_{b \leq a+x}, p_{x=0}, \neg p_{f(a)=f(b)}$

Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



Input: $a \leq b \wedge b \leq a + x \wedge x = 0 \wedge [f(a) \neq f(b) \vee (q(a) \wedge \neg q(b+x))]$ To SAT solver: $p_{a \leq b} \wedge p_{b \leq a+x} \wedge p_{x=0} \wedge [\neg p_{f(a)=f(b)} \vee (p_{q(a)} \wedge \neg p_{q(b+x)})]$ Boolean model: $p_{a \leq b}, p_{b \leq a+x}, p_{x=0}, \neg p_{f(a)=f(b)}$ Theory reasoner: $a \leq b, b \leq a + x, x = 0, f(a) \neq f(b)$ unsatisfiable

Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



Reducing arbitrary boolean combinations to conjunctions



From propositional SAT to SMT: in practice

- online decision procedures theory checks propositional assignment on the fly
- small explanations unsat core of propositional assignment discard classes of propositional assignments (not one by one)
- theory propagation instead of guessing propositional variable assignments, SAT solver assigns theory-entailed literals
- ackermannization, simplifications, and other magic

DPLL: abstract view

Rules handle a data-structure $M \mid\mid F$ where M is a partial assignment of Boolean variables, and F is a set of clauses

Propagate
$$M \parallel F, C \lor \ell$$
 \vdash $M \ell \parallel F, C \lor \ell$
if $M \models \neg C, \ell$ undefined in M

Decide
$$M || F \mapsto M \ell^d || F$$

if ℓ or $\overline{\ell}$ in F , ℓ undefined in M

Fail $M \parallel F, C \vdash \bot$ if $M \models \neg C$, no decision literals in M

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{Backtrack} & M \; \ell^d \; N \; || \; F, C \; \vdash \; M \; \overline{\ell} \; || \; F, C \\ & \mathsf{if} \; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M \; \ell^d \; N \models \neg C \\ \mathsf{no \; decision \; literals \; in \; } N \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

CDCL: abstract view

Propagate, Decide, Fail as before

Learn
$$M \parallel F$$
 $\vdash M \parallel F, C$
if $\begin{cases} each atom of C in F or in M \\ F \models C \end{cases}$

Backjump
$$M \ell^d N \parallel F, C \vdash M \ell' \parallel F, C$$

if
$$\begin{cases}
M \ell^d N \models \neg C \\
\exists C', \ell' : F, C \models C' \lor \ell' \\
M \models \neg C' \\
\ell' \text{ undefined in } M \\
\ell' \text{ or } \overline{\ell'} \text{ in } F \text{ or in } M \ell^d N
\end{cases}$$

CDCL: SMT level











Some examples:

- uninterpreted symbols and equality: congruence closure
- linear arithmetic: mostly simplex
- non-linear arithmetic: CAD, Virtual Substitution, Gröbner Bases, Interval Propagation
- arrays: based on uninterpreted symbols

Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, y \le x + f(x), P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), f(x) = 0$$

Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, \, y \le x + f(x), \, P(h(x) - h(y)), \, \neg P(0), \, f(x) = 0$$

Separate into pure literals

Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, \, y \le x + f(x), \, P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), \, f(x) = 0$$

Separate into pure literals



Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, y \le x + f(x), P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), f(x) = 0$$



Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, y \le x + f(x), P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), f(x) = 0$$



Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, \, y \le x + f(x), \, P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), \, f(x) = 0$$



Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, \, y \le x + f(x), \, P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), \, f(x) = 0$$

Arithmetic	Uninterpreted
$x \leq y$	$P(v_2)$
$y \le x + v_1$	$\neg P(v_5)$
$v_1 = 0$	$v_1 = f(x)$
$v_2 = v_3 - v_4$	$v_3 = h(x)$
$v_{5} = 0$	$v_4 = h(y)$
$v_3 = v_4$	x = y
	$v_2 = v_5$

Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, \, y \le x + f(x), \, P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), \, f(x) = 0$$

Exchange equalities until unsatisfiability is deduced

Arithmetic	Uninterpreted
$x \leq y$	$P(v_2)$
$y \le x + v_1$	$\neg P(v_5)$
$v_1 = 0$	$v_1 = f(x)$
$v_2 = v_3 - v_4$	$v_3 = h_{\text{UNSAT}}$
$v_5 = 0$	$v_4 = h(g)$
$v_3 = v_4$	x = y
	$v_2 = v_5$

Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, \, y \le x + f(x), \, P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), \, f(x) = 0$$

Exchange equalities until unsatisfiability is deduced



Sound: deduce only logical consequences

Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, y \le x + f(x), P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), f(x) = 0$$

Exchange equalities until unsatisfiability is deduced



Sound: deduce only logical consequences Complete: decidable theories with cardinality restrictions

Nelson-Oppen

Combining theories: uninterpreted symbols and arithmetic.

$$x \le y, y \le x + f(x), P(h(x) - h(y)), \neg P(0), f(x) = 0$$

Exchange equalities until unsatisfiability is deduced



Sound: deduce only logical consequences Complete: decidable theories with cardinality restrictions

Nelson-Oppen

Non linear arithmetic is also stably infinite. Uninterpreted symbols and non linear arithmetic:

$$x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

Nelson-Oppen

Non linear arithmetic is also stably infinite. Uninterpreted symbols and non linear arithmetic:

$$x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

Separate into pure literals

Nelson-Oppen

Non linear arithmetic is also stably infinite. Uninterpreted symbols and non linear arithmetic:

$$x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

Separate into pure literals

Arithmetic	Uninterpreted
$x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$	$P(x) \neg P(v_1) \neg P(v_2)$

Nelson-Oppen

Non linear arithmetic is also stably infinite. Uninterpreted symbols and non linear arithmetic:

$$x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

Arithmetic	Uninterpreted
$x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$	$P(x) \neg P(v_1) \neg P(v_2)$

Nelson-Oppen

Non linear arithmetic is also stably infinite. Uninterpreted symbols and non linear arithmetic:

$$x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

Exchange disjunctions of equalities

Arithmetic	Uninterpretec
$x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$	$P(x) \neg P(v_1) \neg P(v_2)$

Nelson-Oppen

Non linear arithmetic is also stably infinite. Uninterpreted symbols and non linear arithmetic:

$$x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

Exchange disjunctions of equalities: unpractical

Arithmetic	Uninterpreted
$x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$	$P(x) \neg P(v_1) \neg P(v_2)$

Nelson-Oppen

Non linear arithmetic is also stably infinite. Uninterpreted symbols and non linear arithmetic:

$$x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

Exchange disjunctions of equalities: unpractical

$\begin{array}{c c} x^2 = 1 & P(x) \\ v_1 = 1 & \neg P(v_1) \\ v_2 = -1 & \neg P(v_2) \end{array}$	Arithmetic	Uninterpreteo
	$x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$	$P(x) \neg P(v_1) \neg P(v_2)$

For non-convex theories, disjunctions have to be exchanged Even deducing equalities is unpractical with a black box

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

 $x^{2} = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$

On SAT, get a model from NLRA

Arithmetic $x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$ $x = 1, v_1 = 1, v_2 = -1$ Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

 $x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$

Pretend equalities in the model were in the input

ArithmeticUninterpreted $x^2 = 1$ P(x) $v_1 = 1$ $\neg P(v_1)$ $v_2 = -1$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x = 1, v_1 = 1, v_2 = -1$ $x = v_1$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

 $x^2 = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$

Compute conflict clause

Arithmetic $x^{2} = 1$ $v_{1} = 1$ $v_{2} = -1$ $x = 1, v_{1} = 1, v_{2} = -1$ Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x = v_1$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$x^{2} = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

$$\neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1)$$

Add conflict clause to underlying SAT solver

ArithmeticUninterpreted $x^2 = 1$ P(x) $v_1 = 1$ $\neg P(v_1)$ $v_2 = -1$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x = 1, v_1 = 1, v_2 = -1$ $x = v_1$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$x^{2} = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

$$\neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1)$$

Update literals

Arithmetic $x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$ $x \neq 1$ Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1) \\ \neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1) \end{aligned}$$

Get a model from NLRA (again)

Arithmetic $x^{2} = 1$ $v_{1} = 1$ $v_{2} = -1$ $x \neq 1$ $x = -1, v_{1} = 1, v_{2} = -1$ Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1) \\ \neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1) \end{aligned}$$

Pretend equalities in the model were in the input (again)

Arithmetic $x^{2} = 1$ $v_{1} = 1$ $v_{2} = -1$ $x \neq 1$ $x = -1, v_{1} = 1, v_{2} = -1$ Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x = v_2$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1) \\ \neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1) \end{aligned}$$

Compute conflict clause (again)

Arithmetic $x^{2} = 1$ $v_{1} = 1$ $v_{2} = -1$ $x \neq 1$ $x = -1, v_{1} = 1, v_{2} = -1$ Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x = v_2$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1) \\ \neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1) \\ \neg P(x) \lor x \neq -1 \lor P(-1) \end{aligned}$$

Add conflict clause to underlying SAT solver (again)

ArithmeticUninterpreted
$$x^2 = 1$$
 $P(x)$ $v_1 = 1$ $\neg P(v_1)$ $v_2 = -1$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x \neq 1$ $x = v_2$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1) \\ \neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1) \\ \neg P(x) \lor x \neq -1 \lor P(-1) \end{aligned}$$

Update literals (again)

Arithmetic $x^2 = 1$ $v_1 = 1$ $v_2 = -1$ $x \neq 1$ $x \neq -1$ Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x = v_2$

Open the box a bit: besides (un)sat, get "model" if sat

$$x^{2} = 1, P(x), \neg P(-1), \neg P(1)$$

$$\neg P(x) \lor x \neq 1 \lor P(1)$$

$$\neg P(x) \lor x \neq -1 \lor P(-1)$$

$$x = 1 \lor x = -1 \lor x^{2} = 1$$

Conclude unsatisfiability (finally)



Uninterpreted P(x) $\neg P(v_1)$ $\neg P(v_2)$ $x = v_2$

Perspectives

- Quantifiers: better instantiations, superposition+SMT
- Higher-order
- More theories: data-structures, floating points...
- Higher efficiency
- Parallelism