## Computational Semantics (UE 903, EC2)

Consider the following abstract syntax together with its associated Montaguelike semantics:

EVERYBODY : NP somebody : NP NEED :  $NP \rightarrow NP \rightarrow S$ :  $(NP \rightarrow NP \rightarrow S) \rightarrow NP_X \rightarrow NP \rightarrow S_X$  $: \ \mathrm{NP} \to \mathrm{S}_X \to \mathrm{S}$ QR  $[\![NP]\!] = (e \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t$ = t [S] $[\![NP_X]\!] = e \rightarrow (e \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t$  $[S_X] = e \rightarrow t$  $\llbracket \text{EVERYBODY} \rrbracket = \lambda p. \forall x. (\mathbf{human} x) \rightarrow (p x)$  $\llbracket \text{SOMEBODY} \rrbracket = \lambda p. \exists y. (\mathbf{human} y) \land (p y)$ [NEED]  $= \lambda os. s (\lambda x. o (\lambda y. \mathbf{need} x y))$ TRACE  $= \lambda x k. k x$  $= \cdots$ [MOVE] [QR]  $= \lambda n v. n v$ 

where:

human :  $e \rightarrow t$ need :  $e \rightarrow (e \rightarrow t)$ 

**1**. Compute the semantic representation of the sentence *everybody needs somebody*, the abstract syntax of which is given by the following term:

(NEED SOMEBODY) EVERYBODY

**2**. Assume that:

MOVE NEED  $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda qsz. s(\lambda x. q z(\lambda y. \mathbf{need} x y))$ 

Compute another semantic representation of the sentence *everybody needs somebody*, the alternative abstract syntax of which is given by the following term:

QR SOMEBODY (MOVE NEED TRACE EVERYBODY)

**3**. Assign an appropriate semantic interpretation to MOVE such that:

MOVE NEED  $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} \lambda qsz. s(\lambda x. q z(\lambda y. \mathbf{need} x y))$ 

**4**. Discuss the difference between the two interpretations you have obtained for the sentence *everybody needs somebody*.