Developmental Reinforcement Learning through Sensorimotor Space Enlargement
Matthieu Zimmer, Yann Boniface, Alain Dutech

To cite this version:
Matthieu Zimmer, Yann Boniface, Alain Dutech. Developmental Reinforcement Learning through Sensorimotor Space Enlargement. ICDL-EPIROB 2018 - 8th joint IEEE International Conference on Development and Learning and on Epigenetic Robotics, Sep 2018, Tokyo, Japan. pp.1-6. <hal-01876995v2>

HAL Id: hal-01876995
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01876995v2
Submitted on 4 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Developmental Reinforcement Learning through Sensorimotor Space Enlargement

Matthieu Zimmer*, Yann Boniface* and Alain Dutech†
∗University of Lorraine, LORIA, UMR 7503, Nancy, France
†INRIA, LORIA, UMR 7503, Nancy, France
{firstname}.{lastname}@loria.fr

Abstract—In the framework of model-free deep reinforcement learning with continuous sensorimotor space, we propose a new type of transfer learning, inspired by the child development, where the sensorimotor space of an agent grows while it is learning a policy. To decide how the dimensions grow in our neural network based actor-critic, we add new developmental layers to the neural networks which progressively uncover some dimensions of the sensorimotor space following an Intrinsic Motivation heuristic. To mitigate the catastrophic forgetting problem, we take inspiration from the Elastic Weight Constraint to regulate the learning of the neural controller. We validate our approach using two state-of-the-art algorithms (DDPG and NFAC) on two high-dimensional environment benchmarks (Half-Cheetah and Humanoid). We show that searching first for a suboptimal solution in a subset of the parameter space, and then in the full space, is helpful to bootstrap learning algorithms, and thus reach better performances in fewer episodes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Reinforcement learning (RL) is a framework for framing sequential decision problems, in which an agent interacts with its environment and adapts its policy based on a scalar reward signal [1]. RL agents can autonomously learn difficult tasks, like playing video games [2]. While RL techniques for discrete problems are well studied, fully continuous environments for both state and action spaces still need new algorithms to address more complex real-world problems, as well as problems where the reward is sparse or delayed [3].

State of the art algorithms for continuous settings or large discrete spaces rely on artificial neural networks because they show good generalization and scalability properties. However, in a very high-dimensional space, searching from scratch is difficult, and generally inefficient. A successful research direction consist in using task of increasing difficulty to facilitate learning, as done, for example, in curriculum learning [4]. We follow that trend by proposing an original developmental RL approach where the sensorimotor space of the agent is enlarged while the agent learns its task.

Thus, our approach consists of searching first in a smaller space to find a local optimum, then increasing the search space by adding new perception and action dimensions. This is a type of transfer learning [5], compatible with curriculum learning, where an agent learns a source task and transfers its knowledge to a target task. Since the state and action spaces grow over time, agents are able to gradually increase the difficulty of their task as in developmental robotics [6]. Moreover, as stated by Guerin [7], learning basic physical concepts is crucial in a developmental approach which is more natural in a fully continuous environment where no discrete symbol is infused. For those reasons, we categorize our work into developmental reinforcement learning.

We test this approach using two different state-of-the-art model-free RL algorithms. We show that our approach could lead to higher policy quality and increases the learning speed on two high-dimensional environments with continuous sensorimotor space. Unlike Atari games [8] for discrete action RL, benchmarking reinforcement learning with continuous actions in high-dimensional sensorimotor space is difficult because of the lack of free and open simulators for those domains1. Inspired by the models proposed within OpenAI Gym [9], we developed two open-source environments within Open Dynamic Engine (ODE) [10] trying to reproduce them from Mujoco [11]: Half-Cheetah and Humanoid.

II. BACKGROUND

We are interested in RL problems, modeled as Markov Decision Processes (MDP) \((S, A, T, R)\) where \(S\) is the state space, \(A\) is the action space, \(T\) is the transition function, and \(R\) is the reward function. We are especially interested in MDP where the state space and action space are continuous. The goal of RL is to seek for an optimal policy \(\pi^*\) (a mapping from \(S\) to \(A\)) maximizing the expected discounted cumulative reward:

\[
\pi^* \in \arg \max_{\pi} J(\pi) = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t | \pi \right],
\]

where \(r_t\) denotes the reward at time step \(t\) and \(0 \leq \gamma < 1\) is the discount factor.

When the state space \(S\) and action space \(A\) are continuous, the use of an actor (i.e. a parametric policy) becomes crucial to approximate the policy and to overcome the complexity of the argmax search to select an action. This often leads to actor-only methods or model-free policy search [12] like REINFORCE [13] or Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution

1The free license of Mujoco is not sufficient to perform an optimization of the hyperparameters on a cluster which is almost always required for deep actor-critic algorithms.
Strategy (CMA-ES) [14]. The major drawbacks of actor-only methods are the high variability of the sampling of the objective J and their low data efficiency. On the other hand, actor-critic algorithms [15] try to mitigate those drawbacks with a critic. The critic learns a value function thus reducing the variability of the sampling of J by estimating $Q : S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the sequential values of actions in each state: (or $V : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the sequential value of each state):

$$Q^\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_p \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} | s_t = s, a_t = a \right].$$

(2)

where $r_t$ is the reward obtained at time $t$ from $R$ following $\pi$.

We now present two state-of-the-art actor-critic algorithms that we will use. To focus on the contributions of our developmental approach, these algorithms will be used to learn deterministic policies as it is difficult to clearly evaluate algorithms learning stochastic policies [16].

**Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG)** is an off-policy, online algorithm [17]. It learns the Q value function, and it reuses previous samples through its off-policy update with experience replay. Based on Neural Fitted Q with Continuous Actions [18], DDPG is more scalable due to online updates, target networks [2] and batch normalization [19]. The target networks serve to slow down the weight updates to increase the stability of learning, by soft updating a copy of the policy and the value function. The actor is updated according to the backpropagation of the gradient of the critic.

**Neural Fitted Actor Critic (NFAC)** is an on-policy, offline algorithm [20]. It splits reinforcement learning problems into two distinct supervised learning problems. The critic update relies on Fitted-Q updates [21] applied to a V function. The actor update relies on Continuous Actor Critic Learning Automaton (CACLA) algorithm [22] that reinforces actions according to Temporal Difference errors. The samples are forgotten after each episode because the actor features on-policy update. In this work, we use the extended version of NFAC with batch normalization and eligibility traces [23].

**III. SENSORIMOTOR SPACE ENLARGEMENT WITHOUT ENVIRONMENT MODIFICATION**

The main objective behind our idea of developmental RL is to progressively increase the dimensionality of the tackled problem. Like curriculum learning [4], we suppose that learning with gradual difficulty is helpful to explore the search space in complex continuous environments.

With MDPs, the growth in problem dimensionality translates to growing the sensorimotor space of the agent. In this work, we address the more specific problem of the sensorimotor space enlarging without modifications to the environment. Modifications are considered as being “internal” to the agent. At first, the agent learns to solve the problem with fewer perceptions and controls: this is the source task. Then comes a transfer moment where the agent increases its sensorimotor space, thus changing tasks. In this work, the time unit for a transfer moment is the episode. As such, a transfer never occurs online during one episode, but between two episodes (offline). After the transfer, the agent is now solving the target task with full perceptions and controls. Recall that for $s_t, s_{t+1} \in S^2, a_t \in A, r_{t+1} \in \mathbb{R}$, the system is governed by the following equations:

$$\begin{cases}
a_t \sim \pi(\cdot|s_t), \\
s_{t+1} \sim T(\cdot|s_t, a_t), \\
r_{t+1} \sim R(s_t, a_t, s_{t+1}).
\end{cases}$$

To simulate the sensorimotor space increase, we decompose $\pi(a_t|s_t)$ into two parts: $\pi_+^a(a_t^+|s_t) \cup \pi_-^a(a_t^-|h(s_t))$ where $a_t^+ \in A^+$, $a_t^- \in A^-$ such that $A^+ \cup A^- = A$, $A^+ \cap A^- = \emptyset$ and $a_t = a_t^+ \cup a_t^-$. While $\pi^-$ is learned by the agent to solve the source task, the functions $\pi^+$ and $h$ are provided by the designer. The policy $\pi^+$ controls the dimensions that are not yet controlled by the agent. The function $h : S \rightarrow S^- \subseteq S$ is used to reduce the perception over the state space. Thus, the policy $\pi^-$ learned by the agent is defined in a smaller space $S^- \times A^- \subseteq S \times A$. Once $\pi^-$ is learned, it is used to learn the full policy $\pi$ without decomposition in the full sensorimotor space. An example is given in Figure 1. Instead of having only one transfer, such decomposition can be easily generalized to more numerous moments.

![Fig. 1. The Half-Cheetah body is composed of several degrees of freedom to learn how to run. In this example, $S^-$ is equivalent to $S$ minus the perception over the ankles, $\pi^+$ controls the ankles (for instance by applying a constant torque) and $\pi^-$ controls and perceives the rest of the body.](image)

A crucial question concerns the order in which the sensorimotor space is incremented. In this work, this question is left unanswered and considered as a priori knowledge. We concentrate on the design of developmental reinforcement learning for neural control architectures with actor-critic methods and two other questions: when to transfer and how to transfer.

**IV. DEVELOPMENTAL LAYER**

We focus on an approach where we seek for generality and try to minimize modifications of the environment and actor-critic learning algorithms by working mostly on the neural network architecture. We create a new neural layer filtering inputs that we called developmental layer (DL). Similar to a dropout layer [24], each input is connected to one output where the associated weight control if the information passes (see Figure 2).

Given an input vector $x$ and parameters $\vartheta$ of the DL, the deterministic activation function to compute the $y$ output is:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_i \text{ if } \vartheta_i \geq \text{threshold}, \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Placing DL at strategic locations of the neural network architecture, as shown in Figure 3, makes the transfer more
A key question is to decide when to transfer. A heuristic solution inspired by intrinsic motivation [27]. When the increase in performance stagnates during the source task, the agent triggers a transfer by modifying the weights of developmental layers according to a given development plan. Formally, the agent triggers a transfer when:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{sm} J(\pi_{t-i}) - \sum_{i=0}^{sm} J(\pi_{t-i-wi}) \leq 0,$$

where $t$ is the current episode, $\hat{J}(\pi)$ is an evaluation of the policy $\pi$, $sm$ is a smoothing parameter and $wi$ is a time window parameter. Depending on the task and the actor-critic algorithm, those parameters should be tuned carefully. Moreover, actor-critic algorithms rely on exploration during the training phase, so the agent can only access a sampling evaluation $\hat{J}$ of the true performance $J$.

V. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experiments are designed to show that adding our developmental layer (DL) to the neural network architecture of DDPG and NFAC could increase the learning speed or the policy quality on two high-dimensional environments.
A. Environments

The experiments are performed on environments with continuous state and action spaces in a time-discretized simulation. The first environment is Half-Cheetah (6 degrees of freedom) [28] and the second is Humanoid (17 degrees of freedom) [29].

In Half-Cheetah, the reward function is \( R(s, a) = v_x(s) - 0.1 \cdot ||a||^2 - 1000 \cdot g(s) \) where \( v_x(s) \) is the speed of the cheetah on x axis and \( g(s) \) is 1 if the head touches the ground and 0 otherwise. Absorbing states correspond to \( S^* = \{ s \in S | g(s) = 1 \} \). The cheetah must move as fast as possible interacting with an immobile ground in a 2D plane while being subjected to realistic physical constraints.

In Humanoid, the reward function is \( R(s, a) = 3 + 5v_x(s) - 0.05 \cdot ||a||^2 \) where \( v_x(s) \) is the speed of the humanoid on the x-axis. It also has to move forward but without falling. States become absorbing if the height of the torso is lower than 0.8 or higher than 1.7.

States are composed of the joint positions/angles and joint position/angle velocities. Dimensions of \( S \times A \) are 18 × 6 (Half-Cheetah), and 45 × 17 (Humanoid). The full description (body, mass, friction, inertia, ...) and source code of those environments can be found at https://github.com/matthieu637/ddrl.

B. Grid Search

In order to select a good development plan (i.e. in what order the dimensions of the sensorimotor space are taken into account by switching their DL \( \vartheta \) from 0 to 1), we performed an offline grid search over several possibilities. This is more a proof of concept than a general methodology that should be used. We follow a general guideline for the two environments: the agent cannot control a limb that it does not yet perceive. For instance, the action dimension of controlling a knee is never accessible before the respective state dimension.

For Half-Cheetah, the selected limbs for the grid search are the ankles and the knees. An additional constraint links the two ankles and the two knees so they cannot be developed at a different time. For the humanoid, the selected limbs of the grid search are the elbows, shoulders and the knees.

C. Learning algorithms

For both actor-critic algorithms, the optimization method used to update the weights was Adam algorithm [30]. For NFAC, the exploration strategy is a truncated Gaussian law inside \([-1; 1]\) with an independent variance \( \sigma = 10^{-1} \). For DDPG, the exploration strategy is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with \( \sigma = 10^{-3}, \theta = 0.15 \) and \( dt = 10^{-2} \). During the testing phase (displayed in the following curves) this noise is disabled. The discount factor is fixed to \( \gamma = 0.99 \) for both algorithms. The source code of every algorithm and hyperparameter used can be found at http://drl.gforge.inria.fr/.

D. Neural networks representation

Policy and value function networks are composed of 2 hidden layers (inner product) of 50, 25 units. Developmental layers are added in front (for the state) and back (for the action) of policy networks and in front of critic networks (see Figure 3). For the critic network of DDPG, actions and states are given as input at the same level. Each weight is initialized from a normal distribution \( \mathcal{N}(0, 0.01) \). It is important to initialize the weights at a small value so when the transfer occurs the solution is not too much altered. The last layer of the critic and actor networks is linear. The developmental layers share parameters between critic and actor. Batch normalization is only applied to the first layer of the actor for both algorithms.

E. Results

For each experimental setup without DL, we optimize every hyperparameter through another grid search for each algorithm on each environment. Then we used the same hyperparameters when DL are added. Each experiment is been run 60 times for statistical results. In figures 5 and 6, only the best developmental plans are shown (selected according to the best median of \( J \) over testing phase). The policy \( \pi^+ \) that controls the disabled action dimension is constant and independent of the state. It simply applies a constant torque that doesn’t disrupt too much the task.

In figures 5 and 6, we observe that, before any transfer, the performance of algorithms with DL is always better than the performance without DL. This validates our assumption that learning first in a smaller space simplifies ulterior learning. After the transfer, both algorithms are able to take advantage of the previous learned policies.

In figures 5 and 6, we see that EWC does not always lead to significant improvements. EWC requires optimizing several additional hyperparameters (\( \beta, \eta \) and a decay for FIM estimation). Besides, a proper way to choose the \( \theta^\text{source} \) involved in the computation of important weights would require to periodically stop the exploratory behavior of the algorithm and run several (costly) simulations to identify the best current parameters of the actor.

VI. DISCUSSION AND RELATED WORK

Planning a good sensorimotor growth is highly dependent on the problem to solve. This is where a designer can provide \textit{a priori} knowledge by giving either constraints or the full
When the DL are added, EWC is used to help the transfer. The averages of the transfer moments are displayed vertically (dashed orange).

When the DL are added, only the “initialization” method is used to transfer. The averages of the transfer moments are displayed vertically (dashed orange).

development order. In further works, it should be interesting to design heuristics to find and try different developmental plan online.

The environments we tried here are probably not the best suited to show how DL can improve the learning, but they are part of the usual benchmarks in deep RL and easily accessible (especially since our release of an open source version). We think that alternative environments where disabled dimensions are not crucial in the first stage might benefit more from our approach (for instance in a ball collecting task [31]). Sensorimotor space enlargement could also be more interesting in environments where the reward function is more sparse but still defined in the source task.

The developmental layer we propose is very generic because its parameters can be controlled in several ways. They can be updated online if one wants to simulate a morphological development over one episode. It allows the agent to control what is to be perceived on the environment and eventually facilitates a return to the source task for a while. A stochastic activation of DL could simulate a continuous transfer instead of the current instantaneous switching of tasks. DL may also be inserted between hidden layers to permit a higher level growth of the parameter space. Sensorimotor enlargement shares similarities with pre-training [32] in deep learning in the sense that it initializes the weights of the model to a “valid” and interesting solution. However, where unsupervised pre-training is done blindly, our sensorimotor enlargement approach seems guided because it relies on the reward function to find this initial solution.

Several previous works deal with improving the neural network representation within reinforcement learning. Progressive neural networks [33] add new sets of layers connected to the previous network for each new target task, showing a performance gain in the transfer and a way to prevent forgetting. Even though the work on progressive neural networks did not involve a change in input or output spaces and lead to really heavy computations, our sensorimotor space enlargement could use the same method in replacement of DL. PathNet [34] evolves the structure of the neural networks online with a genetic algorithm to take advantage of the previous knowledge learned in other tasks by avoiding catastrophic forgetting.
VII. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK

To facilitate reinforcement learning in high-dimensional continuous domains, we introduced the developmental paradigm of sensorimotor space enlargement and proposed a simple and non-invasive solution based on a new neural layer: the developmental layer. We proved the validity of the concept by showing that simply adding developmental layers to the neural networks could help the agent to learn better policies faster on two high-dimensional continuous environments with different algorithms.

We relied on four hypotheses: the global task must be decomposable so that the source task still make sense when input or output dimensions are removed. The designer must provide a default policy for the dimensions that are not controlled during the source task. The reward function must contain information on the source task, otherwise the solution transferred will act randomly in the full problem. And finally, the initial values of parameters that were not present in the source task should not disrupt too much the solution found.

An interesting perspective would be for the agent to automatically adapt its development plan (i.e. what is the next sensorimotor dimension to consider). It would also be interesting to complement our approach with other curriculum learning techniques (reward shaping, task simplification, etc.).
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