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What is Document Simplification?

Complex Input Document

Owls are birds from the order of Strigiformes, comprising over 200 species of mostly) solitary and nocturnal birds of prey typified by an upright stance, binocular vision, binaural hearing, and sharp talons. Owls hunt mostly small mammals, insects, and other birds, although a few species specialize in hunting fish.
What is Document Simplification?

**Complex Input Document**

Owls are birds from the order of Strigiformes, comprising over 200 species of mostly solitary and nocturnal birds of prey typified by an upright stance, binocular vision, binaural hearing, and sharp talons. Owls hunt mostly small mammals, insects, and other birds, although a few species specialize in hunting fish.

**Simplified Output Document**

Owls are birds. There are over 200 species and are all animals of prey. Most of them are solitary and nocturnal. Owls’ prey may be birds, large insects (such as crickets), small reptiles (such as lizards) or small mammals (such as mice, rats, and rabbits).

*Avg nb of sentences in Input Document: 39*
Why Simplify?

To aid reader comprehension (Mason, 1978; Williams et al., 2003; Kajiwara et al., 2013)

- Adult vs children
- Native vs non Native
- Reading disability
- Expert vs non-Expert
Owls are birds from the order of Strigiformes, comprising over 200 species of mostly solitary and nocturnal birds of prey typified by an upright stance, binocular vision, binaural hearing, and sharp talons. Owls hunt mostly small mammals, insects, and other birds, although a few species specialize in hunting fish.

Owls are birds. There are over 200 species ...
Owls are birds. There are over 200 species and are all animals of prey. Most of them are solitary and nocturnal. Owls’ prey may be birds, large insects (such as crickets), small reptiles (such as lizards) or small mammals (such as mice, rats, and rabbits).
Owls are birds from the order of Strigiformes, comprising over 200 species of mostly solitary and nocturnal birds of prey typified by an upright stance, binocular vision, binaural hearing, and sharp talons. Owls hunt mostly small mammals, insects, and other birds, although a few species specialize in hunting fish.

Owls are birds. There are over 200 species and are all animals of prey. Most of them are solitary and nocturnal. Owls’ prey may be birds, large insects (such as crickets), small reptiles (such as lizards) or small mammals (such as mice, rats, and rabbits).
Previous work

Sentence-level simplification iteratively applied over a document (Woodsend and Lapata, 2011a; Alva-Manchego et al., 2019b)

*Low discourse coherence*
Previous work

Sentence-level simplification iteratively applied over a document (Woodsend and Lapata, 2011a; Alva-Manchego et al., 2019b)

*Low discourse coherence*

A sentence-level model that uses context information to influence document simplification (Sun et al. 2020)

*Underperform the iterative sentence simplification baseline*
Our Model: two Key Components

Planning

_{PLAN}_ - A sequence of simplification operations for the input document
Our Model: two Key Components

Planning

*PLAN* - A sequence of simplification operations for the input document

Modeling Context

Simplification operations are predicted based on local and global context

*LOCAL* - The words making up a sentence

*GLOBAL* - The text surrounding a sentence
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Planning

c_1, \ldots, c_n \Rightarrow \hat{o}, \ldots, \hat{o}_n

Simplifying

Plan-guided Document Simplification

*Document context is used to predict simplification operations*

Context-aware and Plan-guided Document Simplification

*Document context is also used to guide simplification*
Planning Simplification Operations

\[ c_1, \ldots, c_n \Rightarrow \hat{o}, \ldots, \hat{o}_n \]
Planning Simplifications

\[ c_1, \ldots, c_n \Rightarrow \hat{o}, \ldots, \hat{o}_n \]

with \( \hat{o}_i \in \{\text{copy, rephrase, split, delete}\} \)

Given some input document \( C = c_1, \ldots, c_n \) the task of the planner is to predict a simplification plan i.e., a sequence of \( n \) simplification operations

\[ PLAN = \hat{o}, \ldots, \hat{o}_n \]
Challenges

Simplification Operations have different requirements

Splitting

- mainly depends on the input sentence’s internal structure

  The man who sleeps snores → The man sleeps. He snores.

  John went shopping after he left work → John left work. Afterwards he went shopping.
Challenges

Simplification Operations have different requirements

Splitting

- mainly depends on the *input sentence’s internal structure*

  *The man who sleeps snores* → *The man sleeps. He snores.*

  *John went shopping after he left work* → *John left work. Afterwards he went shopping.*

Deletion, copy and rephrase

- are mostly *context dependent*.

  A sentence can only be omitted if it is either *redundant* with, or of *minor semantic import* relative to, other sentences in the document
Challenges

Simplification Operations have different requirements

Splitting

• mainly depends on the *input sentence’s internal structure*

  ⇒ we model complex sentences at the token level

  *LOCAL context*

Deletion, copy and rephrase

• are mostly *context dependent* .

  ⇒ we take into account the document context of the complex sentences

  *GLOBAL context*
Planning Model

RoBERTa classifier with cross-attention over the global context

Local Context

- **Token level** encoder of the sentence to be simplified $c_i$

Global Context

- fixed window of Sentence level embedding (SBERT) for *surrounding sentences*
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Planning Model

RoBERTa classifier with cross-attention over the global context

- *layers initialised with weights from a context-independent classifier*

Local Context

- *Token level* encoder of the sentence to be simplified $c_i$

Global Context

- fixed window of Sentence level embedding (SBERT) for *surrounding sentences*
- *The left context is dynamically updated with previously simplified sentences*

Context positional embedding: relative distance of a given sentence from the input sentence $c_i$

Document positional embedding: the document quintile (1-5) that a given sentence falls into
Alternative Models

**Dynamic Contextual Classifier**: our model

**Contextual Classifier**: Static left context

**Classifier**: no context

**Tagger**: Sequence tagging on SBERT representations (no internal structure)

**Tagger-Decoder**: Each prediction is conditioned on the input document and on the previously predicted operation tags. SBERT encodings.

**EncDec_{full}**: Same as Tagger-Decoder but with token encodings
Data

\((C, S)\) pairs with \(C\) a complex document and \(S\) its simplification.

Newsela

- News articles
- Each article is manually rewritten at five different levels of simplification, corresponding to discrete reading levels (0-4) of increasingly simplicity.
- Manual alignment of sentences and paragraphs

Wiki-auto

- Three simplification datasets which were automatically-collated from English Wikipedia and Wikipedia simple.
- Automatic alignment of sentences and paragraphs
Labeling the data

$(C, S) \rightarrow (C, S, o)$

Delete

- $c_i$ is not aligned to any $s_j$.
  The complex sentence $c_i$ is not aligned to any sentence $s_j$ in the simplified version.
Labeling the data

\((C, S) \rightarrow (C, S, o)\)

Delete

- \(c_i\) is not aligned to any \(s_j\).

Copy

- \(c_i\) is aligned to a single \(s_j\) with a Levenshtein similarity above 0.92.

The complex sentence \(c_i\) is aligned to a similar sentence \(s_j\) in the simplified version.
Labeling the data

\((C, S) \rightarrow (C, S, o)\)

Delete

- \(c_i\) is not aligned to any \(s_j\).

Copy

- \(c_i\) is aligned to a single \(s_j\) with a Levenshtein similarity above 0.92.

Rephrase

- \(c_i\) is aligned to a single \(s_j\) with a Levenshtein similarity below 0.92.
  The complex sentence \(c_i\) is aligned to a sentence \(s_j\) in the simplified version but differs from it.
Labeling the data

$$(C, S) \rightarrow (C, S, o)$$

Delete

- $c_i$ is not aligned to any $s_j$.

Copy

- $c_i$ is aligned to a single $s_j$ with a Levenshtein similarity above 0.92.

Rephrase

- $c_i$ is aligned to a single $s_j$ with a Levenshtein similarity below 0.92.

Split

- $c_i$ is aligned to multiple $s_j$
  
  The complex sentence $c_i$ is aligned to several sentences in the simplified version.
Data Filtering

Wiki-auto

- We clip all complex documents after the last aligned paragraph.
- We remove documents where more than 50% of aligned sentences are labelled as delete.

Wiki-auto and Newsela-auto

- We remove all articles that exceed 1024 tokens (so that we can fit them into a baseline BART generative model).
Data after filtering

- Newsela input documents are much longer
- Newsela data is smaller

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wiki-auto</th>
<th>Newsela-auto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Doc Pairs</td>
<td>85,123</td>
<td>18,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Sent Pairs</td>
<td>461,852</td>
<td>707,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. $</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. $</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. $</td>
<td>c_i</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. $</td>
<td>s_i</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. $n$</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>38.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. $k$</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>42.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $n$: the number of sentences in C
- $k$: the number of sentences in S
Labelled Data

Operation Distribution (Wiki-auto)

- copy: 20.64%
- delete: 29.17%
- split: 11.18%
- rephrase: 39.01%

Operation Distribution (Newsela-auto)

- copy: 26.06%
- delete: 16.69%
- split: 21.75%
- rephrase: 35.49%
### Planning Accuracy Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Wiki-auto</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Micro</th>
<th>Macro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EncDecfull</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EncDec</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagger</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classifier</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn. Context</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ docpos</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Newsela-auto</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Micro</th>
<th>Macro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our model consistently shows best results on both datasets.
The *context-free classifier* under-performs for *Deletion*

- This confirms the intuition that *global context* particularly matters for that operation.
Sentence level encoding of the input sentence yields worse results (EncDec, Tagger)

- The loss is strongest for the Split operation
- This confirms the intuition that local context particularly matters for that operation.
Planning Accuracy Results

A token level modeling of the document context performs worst (EncDecfull)

- This suggests that the very long input challenges the attention mechanism
## Ablations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Copy</th>
<th>Rephrase</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Delete</th>
<th>Micro</th>
<th>Macro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>(a) Ablation on Best Model</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 13 ), +init, +docpos</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-docpos</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-init</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-init, -docpos</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(b) Dynamic vs. Static Context</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat, ( r = 9 )</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat, ( r = 13 )</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 9 )</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 13 )</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(c) With vs without Initialisation</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 9 )</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 9 ) +init</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 13 )</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 13 ) +init</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(d) Window Size</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat, ( r = 9 )</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat, ( r = 13 )</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 9 )</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 13 )</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 9 ) +docpos</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 13 ) +docpos</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 9 ) +init +docpos</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyn, ( r = 13 ) +init +docpos</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Plan Guided Document Simplification

Predict simplification operations

\[ c_1, \ldots, c_n \Rightarrow \hat{o}, \ldots, \hat{o}_n \]

Simplify each input sentences using controls

\[ c_i, \hat{o}_i \Rightarrow s_i \]
Document Simplification Models

BART Encoder-Decoder model fine-tuned on simplification data

Iterating over the document sentences

- Plan-Guided (PG): pipeline
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Document Simplification Models

BART Encoder-Decoder model fine-tuned on simplification data

Iterating over the document sentences

- Plan-Guided (PG): pipeline

\[ c_i, \hat{o}_i \Rightarrow s_i \]

- Sent-BART: end-to-end

\[ c_i \Rightarrow s_i \]
Document Simplification Models

BART Encoder-Decoder model fine-tuned on simplification data

Iterating over the document sentences

- Plan-Guided (PG): pipeline
  
  \[ c_i, \hat{o}_i \Rightarrow s_i \]

- Sent-BART: end-to-end
  
  \[ c_i \Rightarrow s_i \]

- Doc-BART

\[ DOC \Rightarrow SIMPLIFIED \]
Evaluation Metrics

SARI (Xu et al., 2016)

- Most popular simplification metric.
- Computes n-gram edits between input, output, and references.

Summarization metrics

- BARTScore (Yuan et al., 2021)
- SMART (Amplayo et al., 2022)

FKGL (Kincaid et al., 1975)

- Readibility metrics
- Uses surface-level statistics like syllable counts and sentence length.
Results

- **Our model** (PG Dyn) achieves the highest results of all systems.
Results

- **Our model** (PG Dyn) achieves the highest results of all systems.

- **Improving planning** (PG Oracle) would substantially increase performance (PG Oracle)
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>BARTScore $↑$</th>
<th>SMART $↑$</th>
<th>FKGL $↓$</th>
<th>SARI $↑$</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Faith. $(s \rightarrow h)$</td>
<td>P $(r \rightarrow h)$</td>
<td>R $(h \rightarrow r)$</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doc-BART</td>
<td>-2.48</td>
<td>-2.68</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
<td>-2.72</td>
<td>61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent-BART</td>
<td><strong>-1.86</strong></td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG$_{Tag}$</td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
<td>-2.20</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG$_{Doc}$</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
<td>-2.20</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG$_{CLf}$</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td><strong>-1.53</strong></td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG$_{Dyn}$</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td><strong>-1.60</strong></td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td><strong>-1.57</strong></td>
<td><strong>80.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG$_{Oracle}$</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
<td><strong>-1.39</strong></td>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td><strong>-1.40</strong></td>
<td><strong>85.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Our model** (PG Dyn) achieves the highest results of all systems.
- **Improving planning** (PG Oracle) would substantially increase performance (PG Oracle)
- End-to-end **simplification of the full document** (Doc-BART) yields poor results
| Complex | Silvano "Nano" Campeggi (January 23, 1923 – August 29, 2018) was an Italian artist who designed and produced the artwork for the posters of many classic Hollywood films. His iconic images are associated with the golden era of Hollywood and Campeggi is now generally regarded as the most important graphic artist and poster designer in the history of American cinema. In the following decades, Campeggi designed and produced the poster and advertising graphics for over 3000 films, working not only under contract with the MGM studios, but also with Warner Brothers, Paramount, Universal, Columbia Pictures, United Artists, RKO, Twentieth-Century Fox and several other movie studios. Sixty-four of the films he illustrated won Oscars, including "Casablanca", "Ben-Hur", "Singin' in the Rain", "An American in Paris", "West Side Story", "Exodus", "Breakfast at Tiffany’s", and "Gigi". Campeggi died on 29 August 2018, at the age of 95. |
| Simple | Silvano "Nano" Campeggi (January 23, 1923 – August 29, 2018) was an Italian artist. He designed and produced the artwork for the posters of many classic Hollywood movies. Campeggi was known for his poster designs for "Casablanca", "Singin’ in the Rain", and "Breakfast at Tiffany’s". Campeggi died on August 29, 2018 in Florence from respiratory failure at the age of 95. |
| Sent-BART | Silvano "Nano" Campeggi (23 January 1923 – 29 August 2018) was an Italian artist. He designed and produced the artwork for the posters of many classic Hollywood movies. Campeggi is generally regarded as the most important graphic artist and poster designer in the history of American cinema. Campeggi designed and produced the poster and advertising graphics for over 3000 movies, working not only under contract with the MGM studios, but also with Warner Brothers, Paramount, Universal, Columbia Pictures, United Artists, RKO, Twentieth Century Fox and several other movie studios. Sixty-four of the movies he illustrated won Oscars, including "Casablanca", "Ben-Hur", "Singin’ in the Rain", "An American in Paris", "West Side Story", "Exodus", "Breakfast at Tiffany’s", and "Gigi". Campeggi died on 29 August 2018, at the age of 95. |
Context-Aware Document Simplification

Cripwell et al. Findings of ACL 2023
Context-Aware Simplification

PG (plan-guided) pipeline

First PLAN,
Input \( D \Rightarrow \text{Simplification Plan} \)
\( c_1, \ldots, c_n \Rightarrow \hat{o}, \ldots, \hat{o}_n \)

**PLANNING is Context-Aware ...**

then SIMPLIFY
Input \( S + \text{Simplification Operation} \Rightarrow \text{Simplified } S \)
\( c_i, \hat{o}_i \Rightarrow s_i \)

... but **SIMPLIFICATION is not**
Modification of the BART architecture

Generation is conditioned on both an input sentence $c_i$ and a representation of the document context $Z_i$ of that sentence

Same context modeling as for planner (SBERT encoding of the neighbouring sentences)
Contexts and Models

Textual inputs at varying granularities

- BARTdoc, BARTpara, BARTsent, LEDdoc, LEDpara

Complex sentence input + Global Context

- ConBART

All above systems + plan-guidance ($\hat{O} \rightarrow M$)

- $\hat{O}$, a predicted simplification plan
- $M$, a simplification model (BART, LED, ConBART)
Which context helps most?

The best two models use a medium size context (either a paragraph or a sentence window).
Which context helps most?

Full Document context does not work well (BARTdoc, LEDdoc)
Which context helps most?

For end-to-end models, LongFormers drastically improve results on longer input (document, paragraph)
Does planning help?

- Planning systematically improves performance
- Planning needs improving
  - the model simplifying based on the oracle plan has much higher performance
Human Evaluation

- On paragraphs
  - 33 complex paragraphs from each non-adjacent reading-level transition pairing
  - 198 paragraphs in total
  - 50% Minor: reading-level transition of two (0-2, 1-3 etc)
  - 50% Major: reading-level transition higher than two (0-3, 1-4 etc)
- Yes/No judgments on fluency, adequacy, simplicity
- Score = proportion of positive judgments
- References and outputs from 4 high performing systems
  - PGDyn, LEDpara, $\hat{O} \rightarrow LEDpara, \hat{O} \rightarrow ConBART$)
- 990 outputs in total
Human Evaluation

- All systems achieve high fluency – not surprising given modern LM
- Planning improves fluency on MAJOR cases (cases requiring higher degrees of simplification)
Human Evaluation

- Window- (ConBART) and paragraph-based models are better at maintaining adequacy.
Human Evaluation

- Window/paragraph-based models + Planning yields high simplicity in major cases (overcoming conservativity?)
  - (LEDpara/ConBART + plan)
Generalising to OOD Data

Training on Newsela, Testing on Wiki-auto
Generalising to OOD Data

Training on Newsela, Testing on Wiki-auto

- Planning helps on unseen domains.
Generalising to OOD Data

Training on Newsela, Testing on Wiki-auto

- Planning helps on unseen domains.
- Paragraph-based models are less adaptable to unseen domains
  - Paragraph length varies across corpora making
  - Models tend to be biased towards paragraph length of training data
Conclusion and Perspectives
Conclusion and Future Work

Planning Simplification operations and having a window-based context helps

- improve document simplification
- generalising to new domains
- handling more drastic simplification (MAJOR cases)

Simplification metrics

- there is a need for a reference less metric which correctly captures the tradeoff between meaning preservation and simplification

Types of Simplification

- Here (Newsela): simplification in terms of school level
- What about: expert/layman, disadvantaged users?

LLMs

- How well do they simplify?
- Can prompting helps diversifying simplification (generate simplifications for diverse users)?
Questions ?
Input

He was born in Stavanger; his father was a military engineer and he was the grandson of the historian Christian C. A. Lange. He graduated from secondary school in 1887 and proceeded to travel and study history, English, and French at the University of Oslo, from which he received the cand.philol. degree in 1893. He taught at secondary schools for many years and eventually returned to the University of Oslo to receive a doctorate.

Output

Almond was born in Stavanger, Norway. <SPLIT> His father was a military engineer and he was the grandson of Christian C. A. Lange. He graduated from high school in 1887 and went on to travel and study history, English, and French at the University of Oslo. <SPLIT> In 1893 he received a doctorate in physics. He taught at secondary schools for many years and eventually returned to the University of Oslo to receive a doctorate.
Example Output

**Input**

*Historical research indicates that* the "Zibelemärit" *originated in the 1850s* with "marmettes", farmer's wives *from around Murten*, coming to Bern at around St. Martin's Day to sell their produce; however, a *persistent* local legend *holds* that the "Zibelemärit" is a much older *festivity*. According to this legend, the Bernese *awarded* the people from the nearby city of Fribourg the right to sell onions in the city *in reward for their aid* after a fire destroyed much of Bern in 1405.

**Output**

The "Zibelemärit" *started around 150 years ago* with "marmettes", farmer's wives. *<SPLIT> They came to Bern at around St. Martin's Day to sell their produce. *<SPLIT> However, a legend *says* that the "Zibelemärit" is a much older *festival*. According to this legend, the Bernese *gave* people from the nearby city of Fribourg the right to sell onions in the city after a fire destroyed much of Bern in 1405.
The Zibelemärit is an annual market with aspects of a fair in the old town of Bern, Switzerland. It takes place the fourth Monday in November.

**Historical research indicates** that the "Zibelemärit" originated in the 1850s with "marmettes", farmer's wives from around Murten, coming to Bern at around St. Martin’s Day to sell their produce; however, a persistent local legend holds that the "Zibelemärit" is a much older festivity. According to this legend, the Bernese awarded the people from the nearby city of Fribourg the right to sell onions in the city in reward for their aid after a fire destroyed much of Bern in 1405.

As the name indicates, it is mainly onions that are sold on the "Zibelemärit". Bernese farmers, who are proud of their decorative onion tresses and onion wreaths, also sell other onion products on the market, including Zwiebelkuchen (onion pie), onion soup and onion sausages. Decorative chains of sugar onions are also popular with children.

The "Zibelemärit" opens very early in the day, at around 03:00 to 04:00. Later in the morning, the narrow alleys are usually packed tight with people, which is what the Bernese call the "Gstungg". A general confetti battle in which mostly children participate ensues at four o'clock in the afternoon, officially ending the market.
Glenn Edward Greenwald is an American journalist and author. He is best known for a series of reports published from June 2013 by "The Guardian" newspaper detailing the United States and British global surveillance programs, and based on classified documents disclosed by Edward Snowden. Greenwald and the team he worked with won both a George Polk Award and a Pulitzer Prize for those reports.

He has written several best-selling books, including "No Place to Hide." Before the Snowden file disclosures, Greenwald was considered one of the most influential opinion columnists in the United States. After working as a constitutional attorney for ten years, he began blogging on national security issues before becoming a "Salon" contributor in 2007 and then for "The Guardian" in 2012. He now writes for "The Guardian." Greenwald’s work on the Snowden story was featured in the documentary “Citizenfour,” which won the 2014 Academy Award for Best Documentary Feature. Greenwald appeared on-stage with director Laura Poitras and Snowden's girlfriend, Lindsay Mills, when the Oscar was given. In the 2016 Oliver Stone feature film "Snowden," Greenwald was played by actor Zachary Quinto.

He has written several best-selling books, including "No Place to Hide." Before the Snowden file leaks, Greenwald was one of the most respected opinion columnists in the United States. He began writing about national security issues before becoming a "Salon" writer in 2007 and a writer for "The Guardian" in 2012. He now writes for "The Guardian." Greenwald’s work on the Snowden story was featured in the documentary “Citizenfour.” The movie won an Academy Award. Greenwald worked with director Laura Poitras and Snowden’s girlfriend, Lindsay Mills, to make the documentary. The 2016 Oliver Stone feature, "Snowden," was played by Zachary Quinto.