#### Mechanizing Cut-Elimination in Coq via Relational Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Université de Lorraine, LORIA, CNRS, Nancy, France

Preuves de logique linéaire sur machine, ENS-Lyon, Dec. 18, 2018

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

rnase semantics



#### Introduction

- Linear logic introduced by Girard
  - both classical and intuitionistic
  - separate multiplicatives  $(\otimes, \multimap, \epsilon)$
  - from additives  $(\&, \oplus, \bot, \top)$
- ILL via its sequent calculus
  - multiplicatives split the context
  - additives share the context
- formulas cannot be freely duplicated or discarded
  - no weakening (C) or contraction rule (W)
  - exponentials ! A re-introduce controlled C&W
  - generally undecidable
- Mechanized cut-elimination via phase semantics
  - A relational phase semantics (no monoid)
  - via Okada's lemma, both in Prop and Type

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

r liase sellialitics

Cut Cillinia



## ILL sequent calculus (multiplicatives)

$$\frac{A, B, \Gamma \vdash C}{A \otimes B, \Gamma \vdash C} \langle \otimes_{L} \rangle \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash A \otimes B} \langle \otimes_{R} \rangle \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad B, \Delta \vdash C}{A \multimap B, \Gamma, \Delta \vdash C} \langle \multimap_{L} \rangle \qquad \frac{A, \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B} \langle \multimap_{R} \rangle \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\epsilon, \Gamma \vdash A} \langle \epsilon_{L} \rangle \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\vdash \epsilon} \langle \epsilon_{R} \rangle$$

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

Phase semantics



# ILL sequent calculus (additives)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{A,\Gamma\vdash C}{A\&B,\Gamma\vdash C} & \langle\&_L^1\rangle & \frac{B,\Gamma\vdash C}{A\&B,\Gamma\vdash C} & \langle\&_L^2\rangle \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma\vdash A & \Gamma\vdash B}{\Gamma\vdash A\&B} & \langle\&_R\rangle & \frac{A,\Gamma\vdash C & B,\Gamma\vdash C}{A\oplus B,\Gamma\vdash C} & \langle\oplus_L\rangle \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma\vdash A}{\Gamma\vdash A\oplus B} & \langle\oplus_R^1\rangle & \frac{\Gamma\vdash B}{\Gamma\vdash A\oplus B} & \langle\oplus_R^2\rangle \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma,\bot\vdash A}{\Gamma,\bot\vdash A} & \langle\bot_L\rangle & \frac{\Gamma\vdash T}{\Gamma\vdash \top} & \langle\top_R\rangle \end{array}$$

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

hase semantics

# ILL (exponentials and structural)

$$\frac{A, \Gamma, \vdash B}{! A, \Gamma \vdash B} \langle !_L \rangle \qquad \frac{! \Gamma \vdash A}{! \Gamma \vdash ! A} \langle !_R \rangle$$

$$\frac{A \vdash A}{A \vdash A} \langle \mathsf{id} \rangle \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad A, \Delta \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash B} \langle \mathsf{cut} \rangle$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \vdash B}{! A, \Gamma \vdash B} \langle W \rangle \qquad \frac{! A, ! A, \Gamma \vdash B}{! A, \Gamma \vdash B} \langle C \rangle$$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Lambda \vdash \Delta} \langle \Gamma \sim_{\rho} \Delta \rangle$ 

Cut-Elimination in Coq via Relational Phase Semantics

Mechanizing

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

rnase semantics

## Relational Phase semantics (overview)

- It is an algebraic semantics
  - Comparable to Lindenbaum construction
  - Interpret formula by "themselves" (completeness)
  - does not require \( cut \) (cut-admissibility)
- Usual phase semantics based on
  - commutative monoidal structure (contexts)
  - a stable closure
- Relational phase semantics
  - a composition relation (no axiom)
  - closure axioms absord the monoidal structure

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

Phase semantics



## Relational Phase Semantics (details)

- ▶ Closure cl :  $(M \rightarrow \texttt{Prop}) \rightarrow (M \rightarrow \texttt{Prop})$ 
  - ▶ with predicates  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}: M \to \texttt{Prop}$

$$\mathcal{X} \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{X} \quad \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} {
ightarrow} \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{X} \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{Y} \quad \operatorname{cl} (\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{X}) \subseteq \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{X}$$

- ▶ Composition :  $M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \rightarrow Prop$ , e : M
  - extended to predicates  $M \rightarrow \text{Prop by}$

$$\mathcal{X} \bullet \mathcal{Y} := \bigcup \{ x \bullet y \mid x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y} \}$$
$$\mathcal{X} \bullet \mathcal{Y} := \{ z \mid z \bullet \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \}$$

- $x \in cl(e \bullet x)$  (neutral1)
- $e \bullet x \subseteq cl\{x\}$  (neutral2)
- $ightharpoonup x ullet y \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(y ullet x)$  (commutativity)
- ▶  $x \bullet (y \bullet z) \subseteq cl((x \bullet y) \bullet z)$  (associativity)
- ▶ Stability:  $(\operatorname{cl} \mathcal{X}) \bullet \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{X} \bullet \mathcal{Y})$

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational

Phase Semantics

Dominique
Larchev-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

Phase semantics

Cut Cililliati

4 D > 4 P > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q P

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{J} := \{x \mid x \in \operatorname{cl}\{e\} \land x \in \operatorname{cl}(x \bullet x)\}$
- ▶ Choose  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  such that  $e \in \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K} \bullet \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$
- ▶ Semantics for variables:  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  :  $Var \rightarrow M \rightarrow Prop$ 
  - which is closed:  $\operatorname{cl}\llbracket V \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket V \rrbracket$
  - extended to formulas

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \otimes B \end{bmatrix} := \operatorname{cl}(\llbracket A \rrbracket \bullet \llbracket B \rrbracket) \quad \llbracket A \multimap B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \multimap \llbracket B \rrbracket \\
 \llbracket A \& B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \cap \llbracket B \rrbracket \quad \llbracket A \oplus B \rrbracket := \operatorname{cl}(\llbracket A \rrbracket \cup \llbracket B \rrbracket) \\
 \llbracket \bot \rrbracket := \operatorname{cl} \emptyset \quad \llbracket \top \rrbracket := M \quad \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket := \operatorname{cl} \{e\} \\
 \llbracket ! A \rrbracket := \operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{K} \cap \llbracket A \rrbracket) \quad \llbracket \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n \rrbracket := \llbracket \Gamma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma_n \rrbracket$$

▶ Soundness: if  $\Gamma \vdash A$  has a proof then  $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket$ 

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

Phase semantics

cut-ellillillati

## Relational Phase Sem. (cut-admissibility)

- A syntactic model M := list Form
- ▶ for  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$ ,  $\Theta$  ∈ M

$$\Theta \in \Gamma \bullet \Delta \iff [\Gamma, \Delta] \sim_{\rho} \Theta$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{K} := \{! \ \Gamma \mid \Gamma \in M\} \ (\emptyset \in \mathcal{K} \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{K} \bullet \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K})$
- ▶ contextual closure cl :  $(M \rightarrow Prop) \rightarrow (M \rightarrow Prop)$

$$\Delta \in \operatorname{cl} \mathcal{X} \iff \left[ \forall \Gamma A, \ \mathcal{X}, \Gamma \models A \rightarrow \Delta, \Gamma \models A \right]$$

- ▶ where  $\models$  : list Form  $\rightarrow$  Form  $\rightarrow$  Prop
  - ▶ |= is called deduction relation here
  - such as provability or cut-free provability
  - permutations:  $\Gamma \sim_p \Delta \to \Gamma \models A \to \Delta \models A$

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

sequent calculus

Phase semantics



## Rules as algebraic equations

- ▶ Define  $\downarrow A := \{ \Gamma \mid \Gamma \models A \}$ , then  $\operatorname{cl}(\downarrow A) \subseteq \downarrow A$
- ▶  $\downarrow A \bullet \downarrow B \subseteq \downarrow (A \otimes B)$  iff

$$\frac{\Gamma \models A \quad \Delta \models B}{\Gamma, \Delta \models A \otimes B} \text{ for any } \Gamma, \Delta$$

▶  $\lfloor A \otimes B \rfloor \in \operatorname{cl}\{\lfloor A, B \rfloor\}$  iff

$$\frac{A,B,\Gamma \models C}{A \otimes B,\Gamma \models C} \text{ for any } \Gamma,C$$

•  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  iff  $\models$  closed under W and C.

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational

Phase Semantics

Dominique
Larchev-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

iabe berriarreres



#### Okada's lemma

▶ For  $\models$  defined as  $\vdash_{cf}$  closed under cut-free ILL

$$\boxed{\forall A, \ \lfloor A \rfloor \in \llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \mathop{\downarrow} A} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \Gamma, \ \Gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$$

- By induction on A, then by induction on Γ
- ▶ By soundness, from a (cut using) proof of  $\Gamma \vdash A$ 
  - we deduce  $\Gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \mathop{\downarrow} A$
  - ▶ hence  $\Gamma \vdash_{cf} A$
  - ▶ hence  $\Gamma \vdash A$  is cut-free provable
- ▶ Hence a semantic proof of cut-admissibility

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

hase semantics



#### Extensions, other logics, cut-elimination

- Extensions to other logics:
  - Phase semantics, contextual closure very generic
  - of course fragments of ILL, but also CLL
  - ► ILL with modality, Linear time ILL
  - Bunched Implications (BI)
  - Relevance logic, prop. Intuitionistic Logic
  - Display calculi (context = consecutions)?
- Computational content
  - ▶ Prop → Type gives cut-elimination algo.
  - can be extracted (you do not want to read it...)
- Coq development
  - @GH/DmxLarchey/Coq-Phase-Semantics
  - Around 1300 loc for specs and 1000 loc for proofs
  - ▶ 2/5 of which are libraries (lists, permutations ...)

Mechanizing
Cut-Elimination in
Coq
via Relational
Phase Semantics

Dominique Larchey-Wendling

Introduction to ILL

Sequent calculus

Phase semanti