Heights of rational fractions and interpolation

Jean Kieffer Supervision: D. Robert, A. Page

Journées Nationales de Calcul Formel Luminy, 2–6 March 2020

LFANT team, IMB (Univ. Bordeaux)

Heights over the rationals

• deg
$$F = \max\{\deg P, \deg Q\}$$
.

Example

$$h\left(\frac{103X^3 + 653X^2 + 383X + 175}{268X^3 + 197X^2 + 237X + 21}\right) = \log(653) = 6.48\cdots$$

Posing the problem

If h(F) and h(x) are known, it is easy to bound h(F(x)).

Question

Let $F \in \mathbb{Q}(X)$ of degree d. Let $x_1, \ldots x_N \in [\![0, D]\!]$ distinct that are not poles of F. Assume that

 $h(F(x_i)) \leq H$ for every *i*.

What can we say about h(F)?

- When N is minimal (d + 1 or 2d + 1): analyze the interpolation algorithm (well known).
- Better bounds when N is larger?

Remarks

- Easier for polynomials.
- Generalized to [[A, B]], number fields.

1. The case of polynomials

2. Ideas of proof

3. The case of rational fractions

The case of polynomials

Let $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $d \ge 1$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_{d+1} \in [[0, D]]$ distinct. Assume that $h(P(x_i)) \le H$ for every *i*. Then

 $h(P) \leq (d+1)H + D\log(D) + d\log(2D) + \log(d+1).$

Height bound is multiplied by the degree.

Remarks on Lagrange interpolation

gives

Height bound is multiplied by the degree.

• We cannot hope to do better in general:

xi	1	2	3	4	5	
$P(x_i)$	$\frac{261}{758}$	496 543	$\frac{16}{143}$	$\frac{683}{258}$	$\frac{278}{495}$	

$$\mathsf{P}(X) = -\frac{480830812799}{911120942160}X^4 + \frac{552317768903}{91112094216}X^3 + \cdots$$

• However, we actually see the huge coefficients when we add an evaluation point:

$$P(0) = -\frac{360058657852}{18981686295}.$$

Let $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $d \ge 1$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_{2d} \in \llbracket 0, D \rrbracket$ distinct. Assume that $h(P(x_i)) \le H$ for every *i*. Then

$$h(P) \leq 2H + D\log(D) + d\log(2D) + \log(d+1)$$

Using twice the number of points gives a linear bound on h(P).

Ideas of proof

Alternative definition of heights

• Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ not all zero. The projective height of $(\alpha_1: \cdots: \alpha_n)$ is

$$h(\alpha_1: \cdots: \alpha_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}} \log \max\{|\alpha_i|_{\mathbf{v}} : 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

For F ∈ Q(X), the height h(F) is the projective height of the tuple formed by all the coefficients of F.

Examples

• For
$$\alpha = p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$$
,
 $h(\alpha) = h(\alpha : 1) = h(p : q) = \log \max\{|p|, |q|\}.$
• For $P = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i X^i \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$,
 $h(P) = \sum_{v} \log \max\{1, |P|_v\}, \quad |P|_v = \max\{|c_i|_v : 0 \le i \le d\}.$

Let $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $d \ge 1$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_{d+1} \in [[0, D]]$ distinct. Assume that $h(P(x_i)) \le H$ for every *i*. Then

 $h(P) \leq (d+1)H + D\log(D) + d\log(2D) + \log(d+1).$

Proof.

Lagrange:
$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{\prod_{k \neq i} (X - x_k)}{\prod_{k \neq i} (x_i - x_k)} P(x_i).$$

- v archimedean: $|P|_v \le (d+1)2^d D^d \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} \max\{1, |P(x_i)|_v\}$
- v nonarchimedean: $|P|_v \leq \left|\frac{1}{D!}\right|_v \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} \max\{1, |P(x_i)|_v\}.$

Sum all contributions to conclude.

Let $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $d \ge 1$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_{2d} \in \llbracket 0, D \rrbracket$ distinct. Assume that $h(P(x_i)) \le H$ for every *i*. Then

$$h(P) \leq 2H + D\log(D) + d\log(2D) + \log(d+1)$$

Sketch of proof.

If v is nonarchimedean, then $|P(x_i)|_v \ge |D! \cdot P|_v$ for at least d values of i (Lagrange). Therefore

$$\log \max\{1, |P|_{v}\} \leq \log \left|\frac{1}{D!}\right|_{v} + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{2d} \log \max\{1, |P(x_{i})|_{v}\}.$$

The case of rational fractions

Let $F \in \mathbb{Q}(X)$ of degree $d \ge 1$, and let $x_1, \ldots, x_{2d+1} \in [[0, D]]$ distinct that are not poles of F. Assume that $h(P(x_i)) \le H$ for every i. Then

$$\begin{split} h(F) &\leq (d+1)(2d+1)H + (d+1)D\log(D) \\ &\quad + (4d^2+3d)\log(2D) + (2d+2)\log(2d+1) \end{split}$$

Remark

We cannot hope for better in general: when interpolating the same values as before by a fraction of degree 2, we obtain

$$F = \frac{22062125284572X^2 + \cdots}{57777551642321X^2 + \cdots}$$

Fractional interpolation: sketch of proof

Proof.

• Let A be the polynomial interpolating the points $(x_i, F(x_i))$:

$$h(A) \leq (2d+1)H + \cdots$$

• Let
$$Z = \prod_{i=1}^{2d+1} (X - x_i)$$
:
 $h(Z) \le (2d+1) \log(2D).$

• Write a Bézout relation for the *d*-th subresultant of *A* and *Z*:

$$QA + RZ = P$$
.

Height estimates on subresultants give

$$\max\{h(P),h(Q)\} \le (d+1)(2d+1)H + \cdots$$

$$F = P/Q.$$

Question

Can we obtain better height bounds on F when $h(F(x)) \le H$ for more than 2d + 1 evaluation points?

Problems

- No simple formula as was the case for Lagrange interpolation.
- Simplifications can occur: imagine the case where F = P/Q, with P, Q ∈ Z[X] coprime, has large height. Then P(x_i) and Q(x_i) are large (most of the time), but it could be that

$$F(x_i) = \frac{P(x_i)}{Q(x_i)}$$

is a very small rational number.

Let $F \in \mathbb{Q}(X)$ of degree $d \ge 1$. Let $S \subset [0, D]$ containing at least 2D/3 elements and no poles of F. Let $H \ge \max\{3, \log(2D)\}$, and assume that:

- 1. $h(F(x)) \leq H$ for every $x \in S$.
- 2. $D > \max\{d^4 H \log(dH), 6d\}$.

Then

$$h(F) \leq \frac{3H}{2} + C\log(dH) + 3d\log(2D)$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Sketch of proof

Write
$$F = P/Q$$
 with $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ coprime, and
 $F(x_i) = \frac{n_i}{d_i}, \quad P(x_i) = n_i s_i, \quad Q(x_i) = d_i s_i.$

Idea: show that cancellations cannot happen too often, i.e. s_i is (at least) sometimes small.

• The s_i must divide r = Res(P, Q). By fractional interpolation,

$$\max{h(P), h(Q)} \le Cd^2H + \cdots, \text{ so}$$

 $h(r) \le Cd^3H + \cdots$

• For each prime p|r, estimate carefully the number of times that P (or Q) can vanish mod p. We obtain

$$\log\left(\prod s_i\right) \leq C(d^4 H \log(D) + D \log(dH)).$$

• Since we have d^4H evaluation points, we can conclude.

• Corollary: if $F \in \mathbb{Q}(X)$ of degree $d \ge 1$ has the property that

h(F(x)) = O(d h(x))

for every x, then $h(F) = O(d \log(d))$.

- Application: height bounds for modular equations in a very general setting.
- The result about fractions seems suboptimal: would a smaller number of evaluation points, say O(d), be sufficient?

Questions and remarks

Thank you!