Rubygems

Lucas Nussbaum

Rubygems

The standard to install Ruby libraries

Features:

- no intermediate packagers (e.g Linux distro)
 - => no delay
 - => always the latest version (even git snapshots)
- cross-platform, works the same on Windows, Mac OS X, Linux

Other languages have similar tools:

- Perl -> CPAN
- Python -> eggs

Fabulous tool for developers!

Rubygems: what about users?

users = end users, sysadmins, etc.

Would like: standard, common way to install software

- ease of use
- integrates well with infrastructure (deployment, local mirrors, etc)
- easy security updates

On Linux: provided by their distribution's package manager

Other languages?

They provide distribution methods for both use cases:

- Perl:
 perl Makefile.pl && make && make install
- Python : distutils

Ruby: imposes the use of rubygems to everyone both developers and users!

"But you don't need to use gems!" WRONG!

See yourself:
Try to install rails applications without rubygems
In many libraries, needed changes:

```
@@ -5,7 +5,7 @@
-require "rubygems"
+# require "rubygems"
require "highline/import"
```

Other problems (mostly consequences)

Those are technical problems, not social problems

- Rubygems ignores non-rubygems libraries when trying to satisfy dependencies (Necessary : doesn't know the version of the lib)
- Rubygems encourages strict dependencies on a specific version (API versioning != library versioning)
 - you end up with many versions installed
- Rubygems doesn't encourage stable APIs
 - users encouraged/forced to install the latest version
- Standardization on some external websites
 - Rubyforge, Github

Conclusion

- Solution : vendorization?
 "let's just put everything in vendor/!"
 WRONG
- Rubygems and Git are very good developer tools
 - But they are not good generic tools for distribution of ruby libraries
- The library problem has been solved everywhere years ago.
 - Just need to create ruby equivalents to those solutions
 - some tools already exist : setup.rb