

## Logics

**I.** Decide whether the following formulas are valid or not (justify your answer).

1.  $(A \wedge \neg B) \vee (A \rightarrow B)$

2.  $(A \vee B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$

**II.** Consider a first-order language without functional symbol, and whose only relational symbols are  $R$  and  $Q$ . Give a counter-model to the following formula.

$$((\exists x.R[x]) \wedge (\exists x.Q[x])) \rightarrow (\exists x.(R[x] \wedge Q[x]))$$

**III.** Consider the linear implicative fragment of the propositional calculus. The formulas of this fragment are inductively defined as follows:

- i. Every propositional variable  $a$  is a formula.
- ii. If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are formulas then  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$  is a formula.

The notion of derivability is then defined by means of the following sequent-calculus:

$$a \vdash a \quad (\text{where } a \text{ is a propositional variable})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \quad \Delta, \beta \vdash \gamma}{\Gamma, \Delta, (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \vdash \gamma} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \beta}{\Gamma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)}$$

where  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$  denote multisets of formulas, and “ $\Gamma, \Delta$ ” denotes the union of these multisets.

Establish the following property:

$$\text{If } \Gamma \vdash \alpha \text{ and } \Delta, \alpha \vdash \beta \text{ then } \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \beta.$$

Hint: proceed by induction on the derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash \alpha$ , using an auxiliary induction on the derivation of  $\Delta, \alpha \vdash \beta$  when needed.