

Bounding Reactions in the π -calculus using Interpretations

Romain Pécoux

Université de Lorraine
Inria project Carte, Loria

FOPARA&WST,
August 29, 2013

Outline

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Process calculus
- 3 Interpretations
- 4 Resource upper bounds
- 5 Extensions
- 6 Conclusion

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper
bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Implicit Computational Complexity

ICC aims at studying "programs" resource consumption.

On sequential models:

- 1 Function algebra (Bellantoni, Cook, Leivant ...)
- 2 Lambda-calculi:
 - Light logics (Baillot, Dal Lago, Gaboardi, Girard, Lafont, Ronchi Della Rocca, ...)
- 3 TRS:
 - Interpretations methods (Bonfante, Marion, Moyen, P echoux, ...)
- 4 Imperative programs:
 - Matrices (Ben-Amram, Jones, Kristiansen, Moyen),
 - Tiered types (Hainry, Marion, P echoux,...)

and what for concurrent models ?

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Concurrent resources

Candidates for time:

- the reduction length
- the reduction length on a given set of channels
- ...

Candidates for space:

- the number of concurrent processes
- the size of sent values
- the number of channel creations
- ...

Candidates for complexity classes : Pspace, NP,...

- if all reduction length are polynomially bounded
- depending on the considered semantics

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

State of the art: time

If **time = reduction length**: a polynomial upper bound can be enforced

- using type systems
 - Demangeon, Hirschhoff and Sangiorgi
 - Deng and Sangiorgi
- using linear and light logics
 - Yoshida, Berger and Honda
 - Dal Lago, Martini and Sangiorgi
 - Madet and Amadio

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

State of the art: space

If **space = the number of active processes**: an upper bound can be obtained:

- using abstract interpretations and relational domains
 - Kobayashi et al
 - F eret
- using lattice ordered monoids
 - Konig

Other approaches (Hennessy, Pym et al) have tried to develop bisimilarity theory wrt a notion of resource process

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Our approach

We make use of previous notions of interpretations on TRS to study processes.

- the **pros**:
 - a complete analysis wrt strongly normalizing processes
 - with a greater expressivity on both time and space
- the **cons**:
 - the analysis is undecidable and needs to be restricted to be automated
- **Nice side effect**:
 - It shows the portability of existing techniques on TRS

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Methodology

A two steps static analysis:

$$\text{Processes} \xrightarrow{[-]} (\mathcal{R}, \succsim) \xrightarrow{\llbracket - \rrbracket} (\mathbb{N}^{\text{Names} \cup \{*\}}, \preceq)$$

1 Interpretation $[-]$

- \mathcal{R} is the set of resource processes, processes with **no** recursion and **no** replication abilities
- \succsim is a preorder on resource processes (e.g. simulation, bisimulation, ...)

2 A fixed semantics $\llbracket - \rrbracket$

- $*$ is a special symbol not in Names, for recursion
- \preceq is the standard order on functions of codomain \mathbb{N}

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

The considered process calculus

Standard π -calculus with inductive data:

$e ::= n \mid 0, 1, 2, \dots \mid op(\vec{e})$ (Expressions)

$v ::= x \mid e$ (Values)

$P ::= \mathbf{0} \mid x(y).P \mid \bar{x}v.P \mid P|P$ (Processes)
 $\mid (\nu x)P \mid P + P \mid F(\vec{V})$

but with no replication : replaced by **process calls**
 and process definitions:

$F(\vec{X}) = \text{Case } \vec{X} \text{ of } \vec{v}_1 \rightarrow P_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \rightarrow P_k$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper
bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Why not considering replication ?

- There is a need of well-founded structures to apply TRS techniques.
- Replication is not suitable for resource control as:

$!P$ can be considered as equivalent to $\underbrace{P | \dots | P}_n | !P, \forall n$

- process calls and definitions are standard :

recursion + pattern matching

- Replication $!P$ can be easily encoded in our fragment by:

$$F() = F()|P$$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Standard operational semantics

$$\frac{}{x(y).P \xrightarrow{xw} P\{w/y\}} \text{ (In)}$$

$$\frac{}{\bar{x}w.P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}w} P} \text{ (Out)}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad \text{bn}(\alpha) \cap \text{fn}(Q) = \emptyset}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \mid Q} \text{ (Par)}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} \text{ (Sum)}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad x \notin n(\alpha)}{(\nu x)P \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\nu x)P'} \text{ (Res)}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}w} P' \quad x \neq w}{(\nu w)P \xrightarrow{(\nu w)\bar{x}w} P'} \text{ (Open)}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad Q \equiv P \quad P' \equiv Q'}{Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'} \text{ (Var)}$$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Annotated operational semantics

We just keep into account the name of the reacting channel $\tau(x)$ or the application of a process call $x = *$.

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\bar{x}w} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{xw} Q'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\tau(x)} P' \mid Q'} \text{ (Com)}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{(\nu w)\bar{x}w} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{xw} Q' \quad w \notin \text{fn}(Q)}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\tau(x)} (\nu w)(P' \mid Q')} \text{ (Close)}$$

$$\frac{\vec{v}_i \sigma = \vec{v} \quad F(\vec{v}_i) = P_i}{F(\vec{v}) \xrightarrow{\tau(*)} P_i \sigma} \text{ (App)}$$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Example: factorial

$fac(n, r) = \text{Case } n \text{ of}$

$0 \rightarrow \bar{r}\langle 1 \rangle$

$m + 1 \rightarrow (\nu r')(fac(m, r') | r'(x). \bar{r}\langle x \times (m + 1) \rangle)$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 fac(3, a) \xrightarrow{\tau(*)} (\nu r')(fac(2, r') | r'(x). \bar{a}\langle x \times 3 \rangle) \\
 \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\tau(*)} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau(*)}}_{3 \text{ times}} \dots \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\tau(r')} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau(r')}}_{3 \text{ times}} \bar{a}\langle 6 \rangle
 \end{array}$$

The **internal channels** are abstracted as a whole.

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Strongly normalizing channels and processes

For any substitution (in the usual sense) σ ,

$$fac(n, r)\sigma = fac(k, a) \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\tau(*)} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau(*)}}_{k+1 \text{ times}} \dots \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\tau(r')} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau(r')}}_{k \text{ times}} \bar{a}\langle k! \rangle$$

We write :

- $fac(n, r) \in SN_{\tau(*)}(k + 1)$,
- $fac(n, r) \in SN_{\tau(r')}(k)$,
- $fac(n, r) \in SN_{\tau(r)}(0)$
- and $fac(n, r) \in SN$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Resource processes

- Resource processes are trivial processes with no recursion and no replication:

$$\mathcal{R} \ni R, S ::= 0 \mid \tau_X^n.R \mid \mu.R \mid R \mid R \mid R + R \mid (\nu X)R$$

where $\tau_X^n.R$ will be able to perform n transitions labeled by $\tau(X)$

- structural congruence is extended by: $\tau_X^0.R \equiv R$
- operational semantics is extended by:

$$\frac{}{\tau_X^{n+1}.R \xrightarrow{\tau(X)} \tau_X^n.R} \quad (n \geq 0)$$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Assignments

Let $D(P) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ be the definitions of P

Definition (Assignment)

Given a process P s.t. $D(P) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$, an assignment $[-]$ is a total map from $D(P)$ to $Values \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$.

i.e. $[F_i]$ is a total function of arity n and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in Values$ implies that $[F_i](v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Definition (Process assignment)

Given an assignment $[-]$ and a process P , the process assignment $[P]$ is the canonical extension of $[-]$ to P :

$$[P|Q] = [P]||[Q] \quad [F(\vec{v})] = [F](\vec{v}) \quad \dots$$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Interpretation

Definition (Interpretation)

Given a process P , an assignment $[-]$ is an interpretation of P if for each process definition $F \in D(P)$ of the shape:

$$F(\vec{X}) = \text{Case } \vec{X} \text{ of } \vec{v}_1 \rightarrow P_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \rightarrow P_k$$

for each ground substitution σ the following holds:

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, [F(\vec{v}_i)\sigma] \succeq \tau_*^1.[P_i\sigma]$$

where the partial preorder \succeq on resource processes is the standard simulation relation defined by:

$$R \succeq R' \text{ if } \forall S' \text{ s.t. } R' \xrightarrow{\alpha} S', \exists S \text{ s.t. } R \xrightarrow{\alpha} S \text{ and } S \succeq S'$$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Example of interpretation

$fac(n, r) = \text{Case } n \text{ of}$

$0 \rightarrow \bar{r}\langle 1 \rangle$

$m + 1 \rightarrow (\nu r')(fac(m, r') | r'(x). \bar{r}\langle x \times (m + 1) \rangle)$

Setting $[fac](n, r) = (\nu r') \tau_{r'}^n | \tau_*^{n+1} | \bar{r}\langle n! \rangle$

$$[fac](0, r) = (\nu r') \tau_{r'}^0 | \bar{r}\langle 0! \rangle | \tau_*^1 \equiv \bar{r}\langle 1 \rangle | \tau_*^1$$

$$\simeq \tau_*^1 . \bar{r}\langle 1 \rangle = \tau_*^1 . [\bar{r}\langle 1 \rangle]$$

$$[fac](m + 1, r) = (\nu r') \tau_{r'}^{m+1} | \tau_*^{m+2} | \bar{r}\langle (m + 1)! \rangle$$

$$\simeq \tau_*^1 . (\nu r') (((\nu r') \tau_{r'}^m | \tau_*^{m+1} | \bar{r}\langle m! \rangle) | (r'(x). \bar{r}\langle x \times (m + 1) \rangle))$$

$$= \tau_*^1 . [(\nu r') (fac(m, r') | r'(x). \bar{r}\langle x \times (m + 1) \rangle)]$$

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Resource algebra and semantics

Consider the max-plus algebra $(\mathbb{N}^{Names}, \otimes, \oplus)$ defined by $\forall \delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{N}^{Names}, \chi \in \mathbf{N}$:

$$(\delta \otimes \delta')(\chi) = \delta(\chi) + \delta'(\chi)$$

$$(\delta \oplus \delta')(\chi) = \max(\delta(\chi), \delta'(\chi))$$

Define $Reach^{\chi}(R) = \{S \mid R \xrightarrow{\tau(\chi)} S\}$.

Definition (Resource Process semantics)

The resource process semantics $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ is defined by:

$$\llbracket R \rrbracket = \oplus \{ \mathbf{1}_{\{\chi\}} \otimes \llbracket S \rrbracket \mid \forall \chi \in \mathbb{D}, \forall S \in Reach^{\chi}(R) \}$$

provided that $\oplus \emptyset = \delta_0$.

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Results

Define $\delta \succeq \delta'$ iff $\delta \oplus \delta' = \delta$.

Lemma (Resource consumption)

For each process P of interpretation $[-]$,
if $P \xrightarrow{\tau(\chi)} P'$, $\chi \in \mathbb{D}$, then $\llbracket P \rrbracket \succeq \mathbf{1}_\chi \otimes \llbracket P' \rrbracket$.

Theorem (Soundness)

For each process P of interpretation $[-]$, we have:

$$\forall \chi \ P \in \text{SN}_{\tau(\chi)}(\llbracket P \rrbracket(\chi))$$

Theorem (Completeness)

A process P has interpretation $[-]$ if and only if $P \in \text{SN}$.

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Extensions

The methodology is not restricted to "finite time". We are able to infer other results by playing on $(\succsim, \llbracket - \rrbracket)$:

- space :
 - upper bounds on the maximal value sent on a channel (see the paper)
 - upper bounds on the numbers of active channels and channel creations can be obtained (not in the paper)
- time :
 - upper bounds even for non terminating processes
 - e.g. $P = !a(x).\bar{b}x \mid !\bar{a}v \mid b(x)$
 - $\in SN_{\tau(b)}(1)$
 - even if neither $P \in SN_{\tau(a)}$,
 - nor $P \in SN_{\tau(*)}$ hold.

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion

Conclusion

Nice adaptation of an existing technique to another domain:

- relating interpretation and simulation
- that can be adapted to control several notions of resources on processes
- that can handle all inductive data types (lists, ...)

The synthesis problem is clearly undecidable (but guessed to be decidable on restricted spaces).

Motivations

Process calculus

Interpretations

Resource upper bounds

Extensions

Conclusion