

Complexity Information Flow in a Multi-threaded Imperative Language

Jean-Yves Marion and Romain Péchoux

Université de Lorraine, LORIA, Nancy, France

TAMC 2014

Implicit Computational Complexity

ICC's goal is to **find machine independent characterizations** of complexity classes on **distinct paradigms**:

- ▶ **Functional languages:**

- ▶ Function algebra (Cook, ...), Lights logics (Girard, ...), Interpretations of TRS (Marion, ...), Non-size increasing principle (Hofmann, ...)

- ▶ **Imperative languages:**

- ▶ Matrices calculus for imperative programs (Jones, ...), Imperative pointer graph languages (Hofmann, ...)

Mixture

Marion's idea (Lics 2011) is to **mix**:

- ▶ **Safe recursion** by Bellantoni and Cook [1992]
- ▶ **Non-interference** by Volpano et al [1996]

in order to obtain a **polynomial time characterization** on imperative languages.

Safe recursion

The functions defined by:

- ▶ constants, successor, ...
- ▶ **safe composition**:

$$f(\bar{x}^1; \bar{a}^0) = h(r(\bar{x}^1;); t(\bar{x}^1; \bar{a})^0)$$

- ▶ and **safe recursion**:

$$f(0, \bar{x}^1; \bar{a}^0) = g(\bar{x}^1; \bar{a}^0)$$

$$f(i(x)^1, \bar{y}^1; \bar{a}) = h_i(x^1, \bar{y}^1; f(x^1, \bar{y}^1; \bar{a})^0) \quad i \in \{0, 1\},$$

is exactly **FPtime**.

Non-interference

Security levels $\tau \in \{L, H\}$.

Typing rules of the shape:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash I : \tau \text{ Cmd}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{while}(E)\{I\} : \tau \text{ Cmd}} \text{ (Wh)}$$

+ command subtyping:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash I : \tau \text{ Cmd} \quad \tau < \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash I : \tau' \text{ Cmd}} \text{ (Sub)}$$

Non-interference example

It prevent us from typing the following program:

```
while(x>0 : H) {  
  x = x-- ; : H Cmd  
  y = y++ ; : L Cmd  
}
```

if x is High and y is Low and provided that $H < L$.

Small imperative language

Every data type is encoded by words over \mathbf{W} .

- ▶ Expressions :

$$E ::= x \mid c \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{op}(\bar{E})$$

- ▶ Instructions :

$$I ::= ; \mid [\tau] x := E; \mid I_1 I_2 \mid \text{while}(E)\{I\} \\ \mid \text{if}(E)\{I_1\}\text{else}\{I_2\}$$

The types τ will be tiers in $\{0, 1\}$ such that $0 < 1$.

Typing rules : expressions

Variable

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{x}) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{x} : \tau}$$

Constant

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \tau}$$

Destructor

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash op(e) : \tau}$$

Constructor

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash op(e) : \mathbf{0}}$$

Typing rules : commands

Assign

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash E : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash x := E : \tau} \quad \tau \leq \tau'$$

Compose

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash l_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash l_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash l_1 l_2 : \tau \vee \tau'}$$

If

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash l_i : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if}(E)\{l_1\}\text{else}\{l_2\} : \tau}$$

While

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash E : \mathbf{1} \quad \Gamma \vdash I : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{while}(E)\{I\} : \mathbf{1}}$$

Example: addition

```
int add(int x,int y)
{
  while (x>0)
    {
      x--;
      y++;
    }
  return y
}
```

- ▶ y is necessarily of tier **0**
- ▶ x is necessarily of tier **1**
- ▶ and, consequently, $\text{add} :: \mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$

Example: multiplication

```
int mult(int x, int y)
{
  int z=0;
  while (x)
  {
    x--;
    z = add(y, z);
  }
  return z;
}
```

- ▶ the output of add is **0**. Consequently, z is of tier **0**.
- ▶ both x and y are of tier **1**
- ▶ and, consequently, $\text{mult} :: 1 \times 1 \rightarrow 0$

Example: exponential

```
int expo(int x)
{
  int y=1;
  while (x)
  {
    x--;
    y = add(y, y);
  }
  return y;
}
```

- ▶ x is of tier **1**,
- ▶ the output of `add` is of tier **0**,
- ▶ but y has to be of tier **1** in the first argument of `add` !!!

Results

Theorem [Subject reduction (TAMC 2014)]

If $\sigma \vDash I \rightarrow \sigma' \vDash I'$ and $\Gamma \vdash I : \tau$ then $\Gamma \vdash I' : \tau'$ where $\tau' \leq \tau$.

Theorem [FPtime characterization (Marion, Lics 2011)]

The set of functions computable by a typable and terminating program with FPtime computable operators is exactly FPtime.

Theorem [Type inference decidability (Fossacs 2013)]

Type inference can be done in polynomial time.

Multi-threaded

Now we consider multi-threads M to be a fixed collection of commands:

$$M(\alpha) = l, \alpha \in \text{dom}(M)$$

and non-deterministic reduction:

$$\frac{M(\alpha) = l \quad \sigma \Vdash l \rightarrow \sigma_1 \Vdash l_1}{\sigma \Vdash M \rightarrow \sigma_1 \Vdash M[\alpha := l_1]} \text{ (Step)} \quad \frac{M(\alpha) = l \quad \sigma \Vdash l \rightarrow \sigma_1}{\sigma \Vdash M \rightarrow \sigma_1 \Vdash M - \alpha} \text{ (Stop)}$$

and we extend the typing rule by:

$$\frac{\forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(M), \exists \tau, \Gamma \vdash M(\alpha) : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash M : \diamond} \text{ (Multi)}$$

Results

Theorem [Polynomial time soundness (TAMC 2014)]

A typable and strongly normalizing multi-thread terminates in a polynomially bounded number of transitions.

The strong normalization assumption can be weakened under a fair scheduling policy (depending only on M and tier **1** values):

Theorem [Marion and Péchoux (TAMC 2014)]

A typable a multi-thread terminating under a fair scheduling policy terminates in a polynomially bounded number of transitions.

Theorem [Type inference decidability (Fossacs 2013)]

Type inference can be done in polynomial time.

Conclusion

A static analysis for resource consumption dealing with threads and polynomial time.

Drawbacks and Open questions

- ▶ Not intentionnally complete: improve expressiveness by program transformation
- ▶ Capture Thread creation (work in progress)
- ▶ Do the implementation
- ▶ Extend the characterizations (BPP, ...)