

```

0: O_1(x) |- N_2(x) (O L.Unf.) [1]
1: E_1(y) /\ O_2(s(y)) |- N_2(s(y)) (N R.Unf.) [2]
  2: E_1(y) /\ O_2(s(y)) |- N_1(y) (E L.Unf.) [3,4]
  3: O_2(s(0)) /\ E_3(0) |- N_1(0) (N R.Unf.) [5]
  5: O_2(s(0)) /\ E_3(0) |- T (Id)
  4: O_1(z) /\ O_2(s(s(z))) /\ E_3(s(z)) |- N_1(s(z)) (N R.Unf.) [6]
  6: O_1(z) /\ O_2(s(s(z))) /\ E_3(s(z)) |- N_1(z) (Weaken) [7]
  7: O_1(z) |- N_2(z) (Subst) [8]
  8: O_1(x) |- N_2(x) (Backl) [0]

Miss !!!
Root list: 0

Measures proposed for the roots in cycles:
  0: [1]
Checking the link of IAs from buds to roots:
  8 to 0: | 1 -> 1 [true ] ==> true
The proof has succeeded

```