

# Erratum to the paper “Protocol composition for arbitrary primitives” (CSF 2010)

Véronique Cortier, Loria - CNRS

April 23, 2017

Theorem 3 of paper [1] (obtained as a corollary to the main result, Theorem 1) is unfortunately wrong. Indeed, consider a protocol  $P$  (using the notations of [1]) that always binds  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  to the same value (no freshness). Consider a protocol  $Q$  that is insecure as soon as  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  are bound to the same value in two different sessions. Then  $Q$  is secure but the composed protocol  $R$  is not.

This issue was actually pointed in Section III.A (key freshness) of our paper.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Myrto Arapinis, Stéphanie Delaune, Vincent Delaune as well as Rohit Chadha, Mahesh Viswanathan, Matt Bauer for having independently pointed me the flaw.

## References

- [1] Ș. Ciobâcă and V. Cortier. Protocol composition for arbitrary primitives. In *Proceedings of the 23rd IEEE Computer Security Foundations Symposium (CSF'10)*, pages 322–336, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, July 2010. IEEE Computer Society Press.